Modern Finance

mfi Modern Finance Institute

Article

Financial inclusion in banking: A literature review and future research directions

Peterson K. Ozili [1]

[1] Central Bank of Nigeria, Nigeria, email: pkozili@cbn.gov.ng

Abstract: This article presents a synopsis of financial inclusion research in banking. Complementing the existing reviews of the financial inclusion literature, I offer my thoughts on the role of financial inclusion in banking and the role of banks in financial inclusion. I focus my discussion on the effect of bank managerial discretion and regulation on financial inclusion outcomes, as well as the effect of financial inclusion on the banking business. I show that bank managerial discretion and regulation affect financial inclusion through bank cost optimization decisions and regulatory changes that may have unintended consequences. In contrast, financial inclusion affects banks by increasing the deposit base of banks, improving bank profitability, improving banks' resilience to shocks, improving bank stability, and reducing bank risk. I also offer suggestions for future research directions.

Keywords: financial inclusion, banks, research, fintech, risk, stability

JEL Code: G21, G28.

1. Introduction

This article presents a synopsis of financial inclusion research in banking. Banks are essential to the economy. They are an important stakeholder in most financial inclusion discourse. The core objective of banks is financial intermediation by collecting funds from surplus units (i.e., savers, depositors, or investors) and allocating the funds to deficit units (i.e., borrowers) who need the funds to engage in growth-enhancing economic activities (Gorton & Winton, 2003; Cetorelli et al., 2012). Banks, through their financial intermediation functions, generate information about investment and lending opportunities and manage lending risks (Adrian & Shin, 2011).

For banks to become effective, they must attract prospective depositors, customers, and clients through financial inclusion. The financial inclusion process involves onboarding prospective depositors, customers, and clients through an account opening process that meets the bank's jurisdiction's applicable know-your-customer (KYC) requirements (Gelb, 2016). After the financial inclusion process, banks can conduct banking business entirely with the onboarded depositors, clients, and customers.

Dealing with banks offers additional safety to bank depositors, who will enjoy deposit insurance benefits, while clients will enjoy banking services that are well-regulated and non-exploitative (Pennacchi, 2006; Han & Melecky, 2013). Banks that deal with depositors and clients also enjoy customers' patronage. They will have the opportunity to safely keep the funds of depositors and use them for lending purposes to earn interest income, make a profit, pay dividends to shareholders, and expand their banking business (Brei et al., 2020; Bavoso, 2022). This suggests that banks and their customers are in a symbiotic relationship in which both parties gain mutual benefits, and this beneficial arrangement is made possible through financial inclusion.

Arguably, it is often asserted that banks seem to benefit more from bank-led financial inclusion than bank customers. This assertion is presumed to derive from the fact that

Citation: Ozili, P. K. (2025). Financial inclusion in banking: A literature review and future research directions. *Modern Finance*, *3*(1), 91-109.

Accepting Editor: Adam Zaremba

Received: 18 January 2025
Accepted: 8 March 2025
Published: 9 March 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

banks can discretionarily increase the cost of essential financial services to reflect prevailing market conditions even though bank customers demand cheaper transaction fees which banks are unwilling or unable to offer (Demirgüç-Kunt & Huizinga, 2010; Shy & Wang, 2011). At the same time, it could also be argued that banks do not gain very much from financial inclusion due to strict regulations on the cost of essential financial services offered by banks. These debates have made banks the focus of some heated arguments in the academic and policy literature, and it reinforces the need to understand the direction of financial inclusion research in banking.

Notably, there is growing demand by economists and policymakers for insightful research into the two-way relationship between financial inclusion and banking, and the demand for such research has never been higher than it is right now, especially now that policymakers are relying on banks to assist them in the fight against illicit financing which is carried out by financially included customers who disguise transactions towards illicit ends. Valuable Insight into the relationship between financial inclusion and banking can be gained from a careful review of the existing financial inclusion research in banking. Therefore, this article critically discusses and synthesizes evidence from over 10 years of financial inclusion research in banking from 2014 to 2024.

The study further contributes to the literature by providing a much-needed review of the state of financial inclusion research in banking. Existing reviews of financial inclusion studies such as Ozili (2021a), Duvendack and Mader (2020), and Yawe and Prabhu (2015) provide a broad overview of financial inclusion research. However, these studies did not focus on the emerging research on financial inclusion in the banking literature. This study fills this gap in the literature. This study extends the literature by providing the reader with a strong sense of what is known and what is yet to be unknown in the literature. It divides the discussion into four categories: 1) the value proposition of financial inclusion for banks; 2) the banking determinants of financial inclusion; 3) the effect of financial inclusion on banking; and (4) the effect of bank regulation/supervision on the banking-financial inclusion relationship. This careful categorization of the literature will provide the reader with a good sense of the state of the literature. The study also contributes to the critical financial inclusion literature. It contributes to the critical literature by challenging the positivist approach to financial inclusion research.

The insights gained from this article will inform and inspire the reader to generate new ideas from the current state of financial inclusion research in banking. The article draws insights from the work of other experts and also insights from the author's financial inclusion research in banking to show the everyday struggle that academics face in understanding the role of financial inclusion in banking and the role of banks in financial inclusion while attempting to expand the literature and offer new directions for future research.

The remainder of the article is structured as follows: Section 2 presents some bibliometric analysis. Section 3 discusses what is known in the literature. It discusses the theories used in the literature, reviews the existing empirical literature, discusses the role of bank managerial discretion and cost optimization decisions in financial inclusion, and highlights the role of bank regulation on bank-led financial inclusion. Section 4 highlights and discusses some directions for future research. Finally, section 5 concludes the study.

2. Bibliometric analysis

Before delving into the thematic review, I undertake a bibliometric analysis to identify patterns in the literature that examine the one-way or two-way relationship between financial inclusion and banking, focusing on scholarly articles indexed in the Google Scholar database.

2.1. Methodology

The study adopted a thematic literature review methodology to conduct the review. In the bibliometric analysis, Google Scholar was the database used to identify important research articles on the association between financial inclusion and banking. The article search period is from 2020 to 2024. The keywords "financial inclusion'' and "banking" or "bank" were inserted together into the Google Scholar search engine at the same time, and the resulting articles were used to conduct the literature review. We focused only on journal articles to identify the patterns in the literature.

2.2. Quantity of research

Focusing only on scholarly articles indexed by Google Scholar, the search in Google Scholar showed that research into the relationship between financial inclusion and banking increased from 2020 to 2024 (see Figure 1). The growing interest in the topic is due to policymakers' and academic researchers' interest in banks' pivotal role in promoting financial inclusion and the need to understand how banks support and benefit banks.

Figure 1. Articles indexed in Google Scholar



Source: Google Scholar as of 23 February 2025.

2.3. Geographical focus of existing studies

The geographical focus of existing studies is analyzed. The Google Scholar search from 2020 to 2024 revealed the following in Table 1: At least 23 regional studies examined the link between financial inclusion and banking. African studies dominate the regional literature, while few regional studies exist in the European region and none in the American region.

Table 1. Geographical focus on existing studies by region

Regional States	Number of studies	Published articles
Africa	14	Chinoda and Mashamba (2021). Byukusenge et al. (2021), Kebede et al. (2021), Jungo et al. (2021), Mengistu and Perez-Saiz (2021), Akter et al. (2021), Ezzahid and Elouaourti (2021), Boachie et al. (2023), Chinoda and Kapingura (2023), Issaka Jajah et al. (2022), Marcelin et al. (2022), Banna et al. (2022), Anarfo and Abor (2020), Léon and Zins (2020)
Asia	6	Vo et al (2021a), Vo et al (2021b), Banna and Alam (2021), Na'im et al (2021), Alvi et al (2020), Pham and Doan (2020)
Australia and Pacific	2	Didenko and Buckley (2021), Chanda et al (2022)
Europe	1	Danisman and Tarazi (2020)
The Americas	0	None

Source: Google Scholar as of 23 February 2025

2.4. Journal citations

The journals with the highest citations (above 100) are identified and shown in Figure 2. The 'Borsa Istanbul Review" journal has a maximum citation of 187, followed by the 'Studies in Economics and Finance Journal with a citation of 150, followed by the 'European Journal of Finance' with a citation of 136. Also, the 'Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance' has 109 citations, while the 'Global Finance Journal' has a citation of 103.

Figure 2. Top cited journals



Source: Google Scholar as of 23 February 2025.

2.5. Research context

Figure 3 shows the top country-specific studies in the literature. They include studies from China, Indonesia, Bangladesh, Pakistan, India, Jordan, Kenya, Vietnam, Morocco, Rwanda, Cameroun, and Egypt. China has five studies on the association between financial inclusion and banking, while India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Indonesia each have three articles. The remaining countries report a single study in their country context.

Figure 3. Country focus on existing studies



Source: Google Scholar as of 23 February 2025.

3. What is known in the literature?

3.1. Theory

Several theories explore the link between financial inclusion and banking. One such theory is the theory of bank runs proposed by Douglas Diamond and Philip Dybvig in their 1983 seminal paper. The theory states that a bank run occurs when many bank depositors attempt to withdraw their money simultaneously over concerns about the failure of distressed banks (Diamond & Dybvig, 1983). The theory proposes deposit insurance as a solution to quell panic and reduce the likelihood of bank runs (Diamond & Dybvig, 1983). The theory of bank runs is commonly used in the banking literature to explain financial and banking crises. Banking scholars have also used the theory of bank runs to explain the link between banks and financial inclusion (e.g., Atellu and Muriu, 2022; Banet & Lebeau, 2022). The theoretical argument is that financial inclusion must occur before a bank run occurs. Once financial inclusion has occurred, depositors' funds will flow to banks. Panic among financially-included depositors over the possible failure of distressed banks can lead them to withdraw all their deposits immediately, triggering a bank run.

Another critical theory is the financial intermediation theory, which argues that financial intermediaries exist to reduce transaction costs and information asymmetry by opening a checking account for the safekeeping of customers' funds, channeling funds to firms, serving as delegated monitors on behalf of savers and absorbing risk (Merton & Bodie, 1995; Allen & Santomero, 1997; Scholtens & Van Wensveen, 2003). The financial intermediation theory has two perspectives: the functional perspective and the institutional perspective. The functional perspective of the financial intermediation theory focuses on the services provided by the financial system. In contrast, the institutional perspective of the financial intermediation theory focuses on the activities of financial institutions such as banks (Allen & Santomero, 1997). The institutional perspective of the financial intermediation theory is closely related to financial inclusion because it emphasizes banks' specific activities, such as opening a bank account for bank customers.

This process leads to bank account ownership, the first step to financial inclusion. Studies using financial intermediation theory to explain financial inclusion in banking research include Musau et al. (2018) and Kalunda and Ogada (2019).

The public good theory of financial inclusion is also postulated in Ozili (2020). The theory states that essential financial services, such as formal account ownership, should be treated as a public good by ensuring that every member of the population has access to essential financial services and that no one is left behind regardless of status and income level so that both the rich and the poor will have equal access to essential financial services (Ozili, 2020). Studies that used the public good theory of financial inclusion to explain financial inclusion include Khan and Khan (2023). Other theories linking financial inclusion to banking include the special agent theory of financial inclusion, the systems theory of financial inclusion, and the institutional theory of financial inclusion, which are documented in Ozili (2020).

3.2. Value proposition of financial inclusion for banks

Banks want to be part of a great idea or project that is profitable and socially impactful. Financial inclusion is one of such ideas. Several studies offer reasons why banks should be interested in financial inclusion initiatives. Ozili (2018) emphasizes that the value proposition of financial inclusion for banks, including digital banks, is that financial inclusion enables banks to receive a large base of low-cost, diversified deposits, expand to new locations to attract new depositors, gain more market share, and retain customers while improving efficiency and improving the quality of banking services. Arun and Kamath (2015) emphasize that deposit mobilization through bank branch expansion is the most essential value proposition banks stand to gain from financial inclusion. Their study focuses on the Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY) financial inclusion scheme introduced in 2014 in India. The scheme aims to provide a zero-balance, no-frills bank account for every Indian citizen. They emphasize that Indian banks used the provision of a zero-balance, no-frills bank account to justify opening more bank branches in rural areas to acquire new depositors. In a related study, Agarwala et al. (2024) demonstrated that public-sector banks were more effective than private-sector banks in accelerating financial inclusion under India's PMJDY financial inclusion scheme. Brown et al. (2016) analyzed micro-finance banks in Southeast Europe and showed that microfinance banks are more willing and likely to open branches in rural areas where traditional large banks have refused to go. This gives microfinance banks the advantage of gaining market share and profit margins in rural communities by targeting low-income, older, and households that rely on transfer income. Sikdar and Kumar (2016) examine payment banks in India and show that the value proposition of financial inclusion for payment banks is their ability to target and win over marginalized and migrant groups within the population abandoned or underserved by traditional banks.

3.3. Banking determinants of financial inclusion

The literature identifies some banking determinants of financial inclusion, such as bank branch supply, foreign bank presence, bank concentration, trust in banks, fintech developments, and the economic viability of bank-led financial inclusion schemes.

Célerier and Matray (2019) examine the relationship between bank branch supply, financial inclusion, and wealth accumulation in the United States. They analyzed data from 1994 to 2005 and found that bank branch expansion increases financial inclusion for low-income households, subsequently increasing household wealth accumulation. Owen and Pereira (2018) focus on the structure of the banking system. They examine the effect of the banking system structure on financial inclusion. They analyzed 83 countries and found that the concentration of the banking industry determines financial inclusion. They also show that greater concentration in the banking industry leads to greater access to deposit accounts and loans, provided market power is limited. Chen et al. (2024)

investigate the impact of fintech developments on the financial inclusion of village and township banks in China. They analyzed the micro survey data of 900 village and township banks and found that fintech developments improve the financial inclusion of village and township banks. It led the village and township banks to use online and offline mobile banking services. Kumar (2024) identifies several factors affecting financial inclusion in India, which include a lack of awareness, insufficient income, burdensome documentation requirements, and a lack of trust in the financial system.

Some studies identify trust in banks as a factor influencing financial inclusion. Broekhoff et al. (2024) examine the effect of trust in banks' payment services on the financial inclusion of vulnerable groups. They find that increased trust in banks' payment services leads to higher financial inclusion for people with difficulty walking and those using a wheelchair. In a related study, Heyert and Weill (2024) examine the impact of trust in banks on financial inclusion in a cross-country context using micro-level data from 28 countries. They find a positive impact of trust in banks on financial inclusion for all individuals, regardless of their socio-demographic characteristics or financial situation. Koomson et al. (2023) also examine the relationship between bank trust and financial inclusion. They examine the case of households in Ghana and find that higher bank trust leads to greater financial inclusion, particularly among males and urban-located residents.

Other studies identify foreign bank presence as a determinant of financial inclusion. Gopalan and Rajan (2018) were interested in understanding how the presence of foreign banks might affect financial inclusion. They examine the impact of foreign banks on the accessibility and usage dimensions of financial inclusion. They analyzed data from 50 emerging and developing countries from 2004 to 2009. They found that foreign banks have a strong positive effect on financial access, but they hinder the usage of financial services. In a related study, Ali et al. (2024) examine foreign bank entry as a determinant of financial inclusion. They analyzed data from the permanent countries in the United Nations Security Council from 2004 to 2018 and found that foreign bank entry is associated with greater financial inclusion. Léon and Zins (2020) also show that African regional foreign banks, also known as Pan-African banks, contribute to increasing firms' access to credit in African countries. In contrast, Kebede et al. (2021) show that foreign bank presence decreases financial inclusion when many foreign banks are in African countries. Overall, the findings of Gopalan and Rajan (2018), Ali et al. (2024), Léon and Zins (2020), and Kebede et al. (2021) suggest that foreign banks are beneficial for financial inclusion to some extent. Still, too many foreign banks may be detrimental to financial inclusion.

Other studies focus on the financial products that enable financial inclusion. Ozili (2023) considered central bank digital currency (CBDC) as a determinant of financial inclusion. The author demonstrates that people without formal identification and those without bank accounts can use CBDC because CBDC is designed to have low transaction fees and minimal documentation requirements, enabling the unbanked population's financial inclusion. Competition has also been identified as a determinant of financial inclusion. Mengistu and Saiz (2018) examine the determinants of financial inclusion in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). They assess financial inclusion by adopting financial products such as bank accounts, credit cards, debit cards, and bank loans. They find that competition is a significant determinant of the adoption of financial products. Marín and Schwabe (2019) document similar evidence in the case of Mexico. Fielding and Regasa (2024) also document similar evidence in the case of Ethiopia. The economic viability of financial inclusion schemes also determines financial inclusion. Markose et al. (2022) show that economic viability can affect the success of financial inclusion schemes. In their study, they focus on the PMJDY financial inclusion scheme in India and show that economic viability is a determinant of the success of the PMJDY scheme. They show that maintaining the PMJDY accounts is not economically viable for the majority of Indian public sector banks, and it threatens their financial fragility. Another study considered de-risking to be a determinant of financial inclusion or exclusion. Durner and Shetret (2015) argue that de-risking affects financial inclusion. They noted the rising trend of banks

cutting off relationships with banked customers to "de-risk" themselves from risky customer relationships. Banks do this by exiting relationships with and closing the accounts of 'high-risk' clients. The commonly cited reasons for financial de-risking included customers: low profit, reputational concerns, and rising AML/CFT scrutiny. Durner and Shetret's (2015) argument that de-risking is a challenge for banked customers is spot-on, to say the least. This is because banks often use their discretion to decide who to let in or kick out of their institution, and they can defend their action with reasons that regulators or the courts cannot easily overturn. However, the problem with banks de-risking financially included customers is that it occurs without the consent of the affected customers. The account closures will ripple effect on the individual or firm's access to financial services offered by other financial institutions, and the regulatory authorities can do nothing about it if banks cite AML/CFT threats to justify their de-risking actions.

3.4. Effects of financial inclusion on banking

Several studies explore the effect of financial inclusion on different aspects of banking. The common themes in this literature are financial (or bank) stability, bank risk, bank performance or profitability, and other areas.

3.4.1. Effects of financial inclusion on financial stability

A substantial literature examines the effect of financial inclusion on financial (or bank) stability. The main argument in this literature is that bank-led financial inclusion will increase low-cost diversified depositors' funds held by banks (Ozili, 2025). Banks will use the cheap deposits in their custody to shore up their liquidity position and to withstand liquidity shocks (Morgan & Pontines, 2018). This will make banks become resilient to shocks and improve their stability. There is ample evidence to support this argument. Morgan and Pontines (2018) examine the effect of financial inclusion on the financial stability of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). They were interested in assessing the effect of financial inclusion in bank lending to SMEs on financial stability in nonperforming loans (NPL) and bank Z score. They find that greater financial inclusion, in terms of increased lending to SMEs, improves financial stability by reducing NPLs and the probability of default by financial institutions. In a related study, Neaime and Gaysset (2018) examine the effect of financial inclusion on financial stability. They examined banks in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) countries and found that financial inclusion leads to excellent financial stability in MENA countries. Other studies such as Siddik and Kabiraj (2018), Danisman and Tarazi (2020), Vo et al. (2021), Feghali et al. (2021), Saha and Dutta (2021), Wang and Luo (2022), Boachie et al. (2023), Jungo et al. (2024), Kebede et al (2024) and Ofoeda et al (2024) also find similar positive effect of financial inclusion on financial stability in several single country and cross-country contexts. Furthermore, Dienillah et al. (2018) show that the positive effect of financial inclusion on financial stability is more pronounced in high-income countries, while Ahamed and Mallick (2019) show that the positive effect is more pronounced among banks that have higher customer deposit funding share, among banks that have a lower marginal cost of providing banking services; and among banks that operate in countries with more substantial institutional quality. These studies show that financial inclusion benefits financial (or bank) stability. However, the magnitude of the observed positive effect of financial inclusion on financial stability depends on how financial inclusion and financial stability are measured.

3.4.2. Effects of financial inclusion on bank performance

A related literature focuses on the effect of financial inclusion on bank profits. The main argument in this literature is that banks will earn more fee income from newly banked customers and earn interest income from lending to existing and new customers, and this will improve the overall profitability of banks (Kumar et al., 2022; Yakubu & Musah, 2024; Aloulou et al., 2024; Vo & Nguyen, 2021; Issaka Jajah et al., 2022). Existing studies document evidence to support this argument. For instance, Kumar et al. (2022) examine the impact of financial inclusion on bank profitability in Japan. They analyzed

122 Japanese banks from 2004 to 2018 and found that lower financial inclusion, in terms of branch contraction, reduces the profitability of Japanese banks. The findings imply a positive relationship between financial inclusion and bank profitability. Shihadeh et al. (2018) also investigated the relationship between financial inclusion and bank profitability in Jordan and found a significant positive impact of financial inclusion on bank profitability. Their findings imply that financial inclusion contributes to enhancing bank performance.

In a related study, Vo and Nguyen (2021) examine the effect of financial inclusion on bank performance in Asian countries. They analyze 1,507 banks in emerging markets in Asia from 2008 to 2017. They measure financial inclusion using an index comprising the indicators of penetration and utilization of financial products and services. They find that financial inclusion has a significant positive impact on bank performance in the Asian region. Aloulou et al. (2024) also examine the effect of fintech-led digital financial inclusion on bank performance in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). They obtained data from 260 UAE banks and found that fintech-led digital financial inclusion positively impacts the competitiveness and performance of UAE banks during the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite this, the evidence appears to be mixed in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). For instance, Issaka Jajah et al. (2022) examined the case of SSA countries. They investigate the impact of financial inclusion on bank profitability in SSA countries from 1990 to 2017. They find a positive relationship between the financial inclusion index and bank profitability, implying that financial inclusion is a significant driver of bank profitability. In contrast, Yakubu and Musah (2024) examine the impact of financial inclusion on bank profitability in SSA countries from 2000 to 2017. They find financial inclusion negatively affects bank profitability in SSA countries, particularly in the post-global financial crisis period.

Overall, these studies show evidence that financial inclusion primarily benefits bank profitability. Despite the evidence, it is expected that different financial inclusion indicators will have differing impacts on various measures of bank profitability, such as non-interest income, return on equity, and net income margin.

3.4.3. Effects of financial inclusion on bank risk

Another critical theme in the literature is the effect of financial inclusion on bank risk. There are two main arguments in the literature. One argument is that bank-led financial inclusion decreases bank risk if banks onboard less-risky customers by ensuring new customers comply with existing know-your-customer (KYC) regulations. The KYC requirement is expected to help banks weed out high-risk customers, decreasing bank risk (Marcelin et al., 2022). The second argument is that bank-led financial inclusion provides banks with cheap deposit funds, reducing banks' funding and liquidity risks and reducing the incentive for bank managers to take more risks (Shihadeh, 2020; Ozili, 2021b). The third argument is that fintech-led financial inclusion offers risk-transfer benefits for banks because banks will not lend to high-risk borrowers. As a result, high-risk borrowers will migrate to fintech lenders to obtain loans. The resulting credit risk transfer from banks to fintech players will reduce bank risk (Banna et al., 2021). There is ample evidence to support these arguments. Banna et al. (2021) investigate the effect of fintech-based financial inclusion on banks' risk-taking. They analyzed 534 banks from 24 Organisation of Islamic Countries (OIC) countries and found that greater fintech-based financial inclusion reduces banks' risk-taking behavior. In a related study, Marcelin et al. (2022) examined the effect of financial inclusion on bank risk in 84 countries from 1996 to 2020. They find that financial inclusion reduces bank risk, providing banks with cheap funding sources and reducing banks' moral hazard problems and risky behavior. Shihadeh (2020) investigates the relationship between financial inclusion, bank performance, and bank risk in MENA countries. They find that greater financial inclusion in the region increases bank performance and decreases bank risk. Based on the findings of Shihadeh (2020), Banna et al. (2021), and Marcelin et al. (2022), it seems that financial inclusion mitigates

bank risk through banks' careful onboarding of less-risky customers using KYC rules and banks' access to low-cost deposit which decreases liquidity risk and overall bank risk.

Some studies examine bank risk in terms of non-performing loans. Chen et al. (2018) examined the link between financial inclusion and non-performing loans in 31 provinces in China from 2005 to 2016. They find a negative impact of financial inclusion on bank non-performing loans. In a related study, Ozili (2021b) examines the association between financial inclusion and financial risk in the financial system. The author finds that higher financial inclusion, regarding higher account ownership, leads to greater financial risk through high non-performing loans and cost inefficiency in the financial sector of developed countries, advanced countries, and transition economies. Chinoda and Mingiri Kapingura (2024) examine the effect of fintech-based financial inclusion on bank risk-taking in the Sub-Saharan African (SSA) region. They analyzed 10 SSA countries from 2014 to 2021 and found that fintech-based financial inclusion mitigates risk-taking by decreasing the non-performing loans of commercial banks in SSA countries. Hakimi et al. (2024) investigate financial inclusion's effect on the MENA region's credit risk. They analyzed MENA banks from 2004 to 2017 and found that financial inclusion reduces non-performing loans. The findings of Chen et al. (2018), Hakimi et al. (2024), and Chinoda and Mingiri Kapingura (2024) are insightful because they suggest that fintech-based financial inclusion mitigates bank risk-taking through a credit risk transfer mechanism that pushes high-risk customers from banks to fintech lenders who are willing to take on those risks.

These studies show consistent evidence that financial inclusion, whether bank-led or fintech-led, can dampen bank risk, particularly credit risk. However, the literature does not provide much evidence to suggest that financial inclusion can dampen other types of bank risk, such as investment risk, insolvency risk, operational risk, counterparty risk, etc. This is a fruitful area for future research.

3.4.4. Effects of bank concentration, shadow banking, and firm performance

Other studies examine the effect of financial inclusion on different aspects of banking. For instance, Lu et al. (2020) examine the impact of financial inclusion and bank concentration on the availability of SME financing in China. They analyzed 1,509 listed SMEs in China from 2007 to 2017 and found that financial inclusion positively impacts the credit available to listed SMEs; however, the positive impact of bank concentration is diminished when the level of financial inclusion is high. Chauvet and Jacolin (2017) examine the impact of bank-led financial inclusion on firm performance in developing and emerging countries. They examined 55,596 firms from 79 countries and found that financial inclusion, i.e., the distribution of financial services across firms, positively impacts firm growth. The positive impact is more significant for firms that operate in less-concentrated banking markets. Isayev (2024) investigates the link between financial inclusion, financial stability, and shadow banking in 11 emerging market economies from 2010 to 2021. They find that financial inclusion hurts financial stability, while shadow banking weakens the negative effect of financial inclusion on financial stability.

3.5. Role of bank regulation: To regulate or not to regulate?

Regulation plays a vital role in accelerating or hindering bank-led financial inclusion. The rationale for regulatory intervention in bank-led financial inclusion is that banks are capitalist institutions primarily aiming to make a profit. Their profit motive can lead banks to undertake arbitrary pricing of banking products and services to the detriment of bank customers and depositors (Heffernan, 2002). For this reason, regulatory intervention is required to ensure fair pricing of banking services and equitable access to affordable essential banking services.

In the literature, Chen and Divanbeigi (2019) examine the role of financial regulation in financial inclusion and find that regulatory quality increases financial inclusion. In other words, higher regulation is associated with higher formal account ownership.

Neuberger (2015) also shows that strong financial consumer protection and credit reporting regulations help to accelerate financial inclusion in Germany. The findings of Chen and Divanbeigi (2019) and Neuberger (2015) suggest that regulation positively impacts financial inclusion, implying that regulatory intervention is essential to increase financial inclusion.

Regulating bank-led financial inclusion has positive benefits and negative consequences. On the positive side, scholars argue that bank regulators should promote financial inclusion by (i) providing a regulatory framework that empowers banks to accelerate financial inclusion (Chen & Divanbeigi, 2019), (ii) ensuring that essential banking services are delivered at low cost and in line with the provider's actual costs (Claessens & Rojas-Suarez, 2016), (iii) ensuring that the cost of essential banking services is non-exploitative to low-end bank customers (Kodongo, 2018; Aziz & Naima, 2021), and (iv) ensuring that newly banked customers have access to the most basic banking services (Allen et al, 2021;.).

Regulating bank-led financial inclusion has some consequences. Kodongo (2018) was interested in determining which financial regulation harms financial inclusion. Kodongo (2018) shows that know-your-customer (KYC) rules, bank capital rules, and liquidity regulations hinder financial inclusion in Kenya. Other scholars believe that regulating bank-led financial inclusion is neither helpful nor needed for financial inclusion. Instead, they advocate that competition should be encouraged because competition is a great leveler (Claessens & Rojas-Suarez, 2016; Owen & Pereira, 2018). Competition can drive down the cost of banking services as more customers move away from banks whose banking products are expensive and move towards banks whose banking products are cheaper (Claessens & Rojas-Suarez, 2016). This view also emphasizes that there should be free entry into banking markets. Financial service providers should flood the market with diverse essential banking products and services so that underserved customers can choose from many options (Claessens & Rojas-Suarez, 2016). In the process, they will choose affordable banking products. This can be achieved without regulatory intervention.

The negative effect of regulating bank-led financial inclusion can also be seen in the loan market. Bank regulators often introduce regulations that compel banks to issue more retail loans to unbanked adults and underserved customers that banks consider risky (Thamae & Odhiambo, 2022). In this context, Mehrotra and Yetman (2015) argue that if regulation compels banks to grant more loans to individuals, households, and SMEs, it could lead to elevated credit risk. Consequently, greater financial inclusion could ultimately lead to rapid credit growth and higher credit risk, which can threaten the stability of banks (Mehrotra & Yetman, 2015). Therefore, Mehrotra and Yetman (2015) called on regulators to avoid using regulation to compel banks to accelerate financial inclusion due to the potential for unintended consequences. Instead, if regulation must be introduced, the focus should be to increase the number of financial service providers, reduce entry barriers into the banking market, and encourage the supply of innovative banking services that meet the unique needs of unbanked and underserved customer segments.

3.6. Bank managerial discretion, cost optimization decisions, and financial inclusion

Bank managers can use their discretion to accelerate financial inclusion, but it comes at a cost. Understanding the cost involved in bank-led financial inclusion is important because it would enable the reader to understand why most basic banking services, which are designed to increase financial inclusion, cannot be offered free of charge.

The cost of bank-led financial inclusion includes (i) the cost of producing banking products and services, (ii) the cost of attracting and retaining banked customers, and (iii) the cost of delivering banking products and services to end users. The cost of producing banking products and services includes the cost of opening a new bank branch in unserved and underserved locations, the cost of moving equipment to a new bank branch, the cost of hiring and relocating bank employees to the new bank branch, the operational cost of running the bank branch, the cost of employee wages, and the cost of creating a mobile banking app that will be used to serve customers (Carenys & Sales, 2008). The cost of delivering banking products and services to end users includes the transaction fees charged to users, the interest rate on loans, and other usage costs (Lepetit et al., 2008). These costs are passed on to existing and new bank customers as transaction costs. Attracting and retaining newly banked customers includes undertaking community outreach, hiring competent customer service representatives, post-onboarding marketing, financial literacy programs, etc. (Lewis, 2013; Durkin et al., 2015).

The goal of bank-led financial inclusion is to make banking services available and attract new customers to use and retain them. Attracting and retaining customers requires continuous innovation and customer-centric solutions. The literature shows that managers can attract and retain new customers in several ways. First, bank managers can attract and retain new customers by creating an attractive, visually enticing, and user-friendly website that can act as a digital storefront and point of contact for the bank (Firdous & Farooqi, 2017). Two, bank managers can use mobile apps to bring banking to the fingertips of new customers. Creating a mobile app will enable newly banked customers to manage their accounts effortlessly, make transfers, and access a wide range of banking services in real-time and with enhanced security (Firdous & Farooqi, 2017). This can play a significant role in building trust, customer loyalty, and customer satisfaction. Managers should also commit to staying up to date with technological developments that enhance the functionality of mobile apps seamlessly and securely (Jun & Palacios, 2016). Third, bank managers should also put effort into multi-channel marketing. Banks should broaden their marketing efforts using several channels, including rural community visitation, social media, digital channels, and targeted email campaigns (Durkin et al., 2015). Bank managers should also take their marketing campaign to locations where potential unbanked customers are most active to ensure maximum visibility and engagement with banking services (Durkin et al., 2015). Fourth, bank managers may also need to provide financial literacy programs to unbanked customers so that they will see the need to use banking services. Bank managers can do this through word-of-mouth financial education, visual content creation, and distributing financial education content that fosters financial literacy. This will help simplify complex financial concepts, make banking more appealing to unbanked customers, and enable banks to foster long-term customer relationships. Five, bank managers can use technology to ensure a seamless bank account opening and document verification (Gallego-Losada et al., 2023). This will ensure that the initial interaction of unbanked adults with banks is seamless and easy for new customers and eliminate unnecessary complexities in the onboarding process for new customers.

4. Directions for future research

In this section, I offer some directions for future research. The most notable areas to advance the literature are generative artificial intelligence (AI), the role of bank managerial discretion in financial inclusion, increasing financial inclusion for forcibly

displaced persons, the role of bank CEO gender in financial inclusion, and the effect of windfall gains tax on financial inclusion.

4.1. Using generative AI to increase bank-led financial inclusion

Generative AI is making significant inroads into banking. Generative AI is the type of AI that generates content, text, images, or sounds from existing trained data (Sleiman, 2023). Common examples of generative AI are ChatGPT, GitHub Copilot, DeepSeek, and Microsoft Copilot. The factors encouraging the use of generative AI in banking include advancement in machine learning algorithms, the abundance of data, the need to reduce cost, and the increasing demand for personalized customer experience in banking (Sleiman, 2023). These factors have led banks to use generative AI to improve productivity, increase value creation, detect fraud in real-time, predict customer needs, manage customer relationships, improve customer experience, perform sophisticated risk simulations, enhance risk assessment, generate credit scoring, improve investment decisions, and enhance compliance and regulatory reporting (Krause, 2023). Despite this, the literature has not explored the potential to use generative AI to increase bank-led financial inclusion. There is a need to explore how banks can use generative AI to increase financial inclusion. This can be achieved by using AI tools to analyze population data and predict the population segments likely to be underserved and unserved with essential banking services so that banks can serve the identified population segment. Generative AI can also increase access to credit by generating AI-powered credit scores. The generative AI system can analyze thousands of data points generated from customers' financial activity and use the data to determine an individual's likelihood of repaying a loan. This can be used to develop a credit score and enhance access to credit.

4.2. Influence of bank managerial discretion on financial inclusion

In section 3, I show that bank managerial discretion is essential for financial inclusion. Assume that a bank has introduced a plan to increase financial inclusion from 100,000 to 200,000 customers. After the Bank's Board has approved the financial inclusion plan, how operational managers implement the plan can either hinder or foster greater financial inclusion. However, the literature has not explored, in great depth, the role of managerial discretion in influencing financial inclusion outcomes. Agency problems may arise when operational managers are not given enough incentives to align their interests with owners' interests in achieving the expected financial inclusion target. It is also possible that cost consideration can lead bank managers to adjust or abandon the initial financial inclusion plan if it has become too expensive to implement. Bank managers may also adjust the number of bank branches to be opened, adjust the type of digital technology to deploy to accelerate financial inclusion, and adjust the cost of marketing to attract unbanked customers. The problem with such adjustments is that they can reduce the number of newly financially included people from the intended 200,000 customers to 145,000 customers. The above illustration shows that bank managerial discretion can influence financial inclusion outcomes. Therefore, there is a need for more research into how bank managerial discretion can influence financial inclusion outcomes.

4.3. Increasing financial inclusion for forcibly displaced persons through banks

The literature is also silent on how banks can increase financial inclusion for forcibly displaced persons who are undocumented foreigners, such as undocumented migrants, refugees, and asylum seekers. This group of people is often financially excluded because they do not meet the KYC requirements required by most banks in the host communities. KYC verification is challenging for forcibly displaced persons who lack legal status and formal identification. Banks need to verify the identities of potential customers and assess their risk for money laundering, terrorist financing, or other financial crimes. As a result, forcibly displaced persons cannot access valuable and affordable financial products and

services. More research is needed on how banks can devise regulatory-compliant strategies that allow undocumented migrants, refugees, and asylum seekers to access valuable and affordable financial products and services that meet their needs responsibly, non-discriminatively, and sustainably. The chosen strategy should remove the financial constraints facing forcibly displaced persons and help them rebuild their economic livelihoods, store money, build up savings, send or receive money transfers, and carry out day-to-day financial transactions.

4.4. Bank CEO gender and financial inclusion

The literature shows that female bank CEOs take fewer risks than male CEOs (Skała & Weill, 2018), and they tend to focus more on social impact by improving non-financial performance (Prabowo et al., 2017). The literature also documents that female microfinance bank leaders may be gender biased in their financial access decisions (Strøm et al., 2023). However, what remains unknown in the literature is whether the gender of a bank CEO matters for financial inclusion irrespective of the type of bank. It is interesting to determine whether female bank CEOs put in significant effort to increase financial inclusion for all customer segments or whether they tend to focus on increasing financial inclusion for women. This topic is an important area for further research because many recent efforts to increase financial inclusion have prioritized financial inclusion for women[1] Moreover, there are suggestions in policy circles.[2] Putting women as bank CEOs can help accelerate financial inclusion for women and all population segments. While this hypothesis is interesting, existing studies have not offered insights into this issue. Therefore, future studies should explore whether the presence of a female bank CEO matters for financial inclusion. Future studies should also explore whether there is a significant difference in the levels of financial inclusion attained during the tenure of a female bank CEO and a male bank CEO. Such insight can help to answer the question of whether bank CEO gender matters for financial inclusion.

4.5. Taxing windfall gains as an incentive for selective financial inclusion by banks

The literature is silent on the role of windfall gains tax in selective financial inclusion. Banks that operate in environments where regulators tax their documented windfall gains will be selective in driving financial inclusion. The reason for this is apparent. Bank-led financial inclusion would make most banking transactions visible to regulators. It will enable regulators to identify and tax banks' windfall gains to redistribute wealth in society. Banks oppose such income redistribution regardless of whether the windfall gains resulted from luck or innovation in business. For this reason, banks may be reluctant to ensure complete financial inclusion in all their business activities to avoid the prying eyes of regulators on their profits, particularly windfall gains. Banks will have incentives to hide windfall gains by ensuring that their dealings or activities in sectors that generate windfall gains are not processed through bank accounts. This makes it difficult for regulators to track or gain visibility into those activities or tax their windfall gains. Consequently, banks may not achieve financial inclusion as much as they should because they want to avoid regulatory scrutiny in the banking segments where they intend to gain windfall. Future research can test this argument by investigating whether windfall gains tax incentivizes banks to be selective in deciding where financial inclusion should occur and where financial inclusion should not.

5. Conclusion

This article presented a comprehensible entry point to financial inclusion research in banking. It highlighted some observations on financial inclusion research in banking and

[1] This includes the provision of gender-specific loans, and gender-specific savings products.
[2] This has been a discussion in some of the policy forums hosted by Alliance for Financial Inclusion

offered some directions for future research. The dominant theories in the field are the theory of bank runs and the theory of financial intermediation. The theory of bank runs links financial inclusion to banking by proposing that financial inclusion must occur before a bank run can happen. Once financial inclusion has occurred, depositors' funds will flow to banks before they flow out of banks during a bank run. The financial intermediation theory links financial inclusion to banking from the institutional perspective, which emphasizes the specific activities of banks, such as opening a bank account, which promotes financial inclusion. The widely accepted value proposition of financial inclusion for banks is that financial inclusion can increase banks' access to low-cost, diversified deposits, lead to bank branch expansion, and increase market share and customer retention. Despite this value proposition, certain banking factors affect financial inclusion, which should not be ignored. They include bank branch supply, foreign bank presence, bank concentration, trust in banks, fintech developments, central bank digital currency adoption, and the economic viability of bank-led financial inclusion schemes. Financial inclusion also affects banking, particularly bank stability, bank performance, bank risk, nonperforming loans, bank concentration, shadow banking, and firm performance. Regulation also plays a role in the link between financial inclusion and banking. Regulation ensures that access to banking services is fair, equitable, and affordable, while its negative consequence is that regulation can lead to an increase in bank risk. Furthermore, the cost of bank-led financial inclusion should also be considered, particularly the cost of producing banking products and services, attracting and retaining banked customers, and delivering banking products and services to end users. These costs must be optimized so that only the residual costs are passed on to existing and new bank customers as transaction costs fairly and responsibly.

Finally, some opportunities for future research were recommended, such as the need to use generative artificial intelligence (AI) to increase financial inclusion, the role of bank managerial discretion in financial inclusion, the role of banks in increasing financial inclusion for forcibly displaced persons, the role of bank CEO gender in financial inclusion, and the effect of windfall gains tax on bank-led financial inclusion.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. There is no conflict of interest associated with this research.

Data Availability Statement: The data used for this study is publicly available on the Google Scholar database.

References

Adrian, T., & Shin, H. S. (2011). Financial intermediary balance sheet management. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 3(1), 289-307. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-102710-144915

Agarwala, N., Maity, S., & Sahu, T. N. (2024). The efficiency of Indian banks in fostering financial inclusion: an emerging economy perspective. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 29(2), 341-353. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41264-022-00203-7

Ahamed, M. M., & Mallick, S. K. (2019). Is financial inclusion good for bank stability? International evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 157, 403-427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2017.07.027

Akter, U., Anwar, S. R., Mustafa, R., Ali, Z., & Cumilla, B. (2021). Revisiting the impact of mobile banking on financial inclusion among developing countries. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 12(2), 62-74. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v12n2p62

Ali, A., Ali, A., & Rajput, S. K. O. (2024). Role of foreign banks in promoting financial inclusion: A time series analysis of five permanent members of UN security council. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 92, 884-893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2024.02.029

Allen, F., & Santomero, A. M. (1997). The theory of financial intermediation. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 21(11-12), 1461-1485.

Allen, F., Carletti, E., Cull, R., Qian, J. Q., Senbet, L., & Valenzuela, P. (2021). Improving access to banking: Evidence from Kenya. *Review of Finance*, 25(2), 403-447. https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfaa024

Aloulou, M., Grati, R., Al-Qudah, A. A., & Al-Okaily, M. (2024). Does FinTech adoption increase the diffusion rate of digital financial inclusion? A study of the banking industry sector. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 22(2), 289–307. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-05-2023-0224

Alvi, M. A., Rafique, A., & Shehzad, K. (2020). Financial inclusion and bank stability controversy: Evidence from South Asian region. *International Journal of Financial Engineering*, 7(04), 2050038. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2424786320500383

Anarfo, E. B., & Abor, J. Y. (2020). Financial regulation and inclusion in Sub-Saharan Africa: does financial stability play a moderating role? *Research in International Business and Finance*, 51, 101070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2019.101070

Arun, T., & Kamath, R. (2015). Financial inclusion: Policies and practices. *IIMB Management Review*, 27(4), 267-287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2015.09.004

Atellu, A. R., & Muriu, P. W. (2022). Does financial inclusion enhance financial stability? Evidence from a developing economy. *Transnational Corporations Review*, 14(3), 297-311. https://doi.org/10.1080/19186444.2021.2019555

Aziz, A., & Naima, U. (2021). Rethinking digital financial inclusion: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Technology in Society*, 64, 101509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101509

Banet, J., & Lebeau, L. (2022). Central bank digital currency: Financial inclusion vs. disintermediation. FRB of Dallas Working Paper No. 2218. https://doi.org/10.24149/wp2218

Banna, H., & Alam, M. R. (2021). Impact of digital financial inclusion on ASEAN banking stability: implications for the post-Covid-19 era. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 38(2), 504-523. https://doi.org/10.1108/SEF-09-2020-0388

Banna, H., Hassan, M. K., & Rashid, M. (2021). Fintech-based financial inclusion and bank risk-taking: Evidence from OIC countries. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions, and Money*, 75, 101447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2021.101447

Banna, H., Mia, M. A., Nourani, M., & Yarovaya, L. (2022). Fintech-based financial inclusion and risk-taking of microfinance institutions (MFIs): Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. Finance Research Letters, 45, 102149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2021.102149

Bavoso, V. (2022). Financial intermediation in the age of FinTech: P2P lending and the reinvention of banking. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 42(1), 48-75. https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqab022

Boachie, R., Aawaar, G., & Domeher, D. (2023). Relationship between financial inclusion, banking stability and economic growth: a dynamic panel approach. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 39(3), 655-670. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-05-2021-0084

Brei, M., Borio, C., & Gambacorta, L. (2020). Bank intermediation activity in a low-interest-rate environment. *Economic Notes*, 49(2), e12164. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecno.12164

Broekhoff, M. C., van der Cruijsen, C., & de Haan, J. (2024). Towards financial inclusion: trust in banks' payment services among groups at risk. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 82, 104-123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2024.02.038

Brown, M., Guin, B., & Kirschenmann, K. (2016). Microfinance banks and financial inclusion. *Review of Finance*, 20(3), 907-946. https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfv026

Byukusenge, E., & Muiruri, P. M. (2021). Financial inclusion strategies and performance of commercial banks in Rwanda; a case of I&M Bank in Rwanda. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 5(4), 23-34. https://doi.org/10.53819/81018102t5029

Carenys, J., & Sales, X. (2008). Costing the banking services: a management accounting approach. *Journal of Money, Investment and Banking*, 6(1), 8-9.

Célerier, C., & Matray, A. (2019). Bank-branch supply, financial inclusion, and wealth accumulation. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 32(12), 4767-4809. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhz046

Cetorelli, N., Mandel, B. H., & Mollineaux, L. (2012). The evolution of banks and financial intermediation: Framing the analysis. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review*, 18(2), 1-12.

Chanda, R., Wong, J. T. Y., Wang, T., Hunter, S., Webb, A., & Watson-Lynn, E. (2022). Policy guidebook: harnessing digital technology for financial inclusion in Asia and the Pacific.

Chauvet, L., & Jacolin, L. (2017). Financial inclusion, bank concentration, and firm performance. *World Development*, 97, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.03.018

Chen, F. W., Feng, Y., & Wang, W. (2018). Impacts of financial inclusion on non-performing loans of commercial banks: Evidence from China. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 3084. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093084

Chen, R., & Divanbeigi, R. (2019). Can regulation promote financial inclusion?. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, 8711.Čihák, M., Mare, D. S., & Melecky, M. (2021). Financial inclusion and stability: Review of theoretical and empirical links. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 36(2), 197-233. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-8711

Chen, X., He, G., & Li, Q. (2024). Can Fintech development improve the financial inclusion of village and township banks? Evidence from China. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 85, 102324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2024.102324

Chinoda, T., & Kapingura, F. M. (2023). The impact of digital financial inclusion and bank competition on bank stability in sub-Saharan Africa. Economies, 11(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11010015

Chinoda, T., & Mashamba, T. (2021). Financial inclusion, bank competition and economic growth in Africa. *Journal of Economic and Financial Sciences*, 14(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.4102/jef.v14i1.649

Chinoda, T., & Mingiri Kapingura, F. (2024). Fintech-based financial inclusion and banks' risk-taking: the role of regulation in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-11-2023-0304

Claessens, S., & Rojas-Suarez, L. (2016). Financial regulations for improving financial inclusion. *Center for Global Development*, 2(3), 44-53.

Danisman, G. O., & Tarazi, A. (2020). Financial inclusion and bank stability: Evidence from Europe. *The European Journal of Finance*, 26(18), 1842-1855. https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2020.1782958

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Huizinga, H. (2010). Bank activity and funding strategies: The impact on risk and returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 98(3), 626-650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2010.06.004

Diamond, D. W., & Dybvig, P. H. (1983). Bank runs, deposit insurance, and liquidity. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91(3), 401-419. https://doi.org/10.1086/261155

Didenko, A. N., & Buckley, R. P. (2021). Central bank digital currencies: A potential response to the financial inclusion challenges of the Pacific. UNSW Law Research Paper, (21-63). https://doi.org/10.22617/ARM210301-2

Dienillah, A. A., Anggraeni, L., & Sahara, S. (2018). Impact of financial inclusion on financial stability based on income group countries. *Bulletin of Monetary Economics and Banking*, 20(4), 429-442. https://doi.org/10.21098/bemp.v20i4.859

Durkin, M., Mulholland, G., & McCartan, A. (2015). A socio-technical perspective on social media adoption: a case from retail banking. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 33(7), 944-962. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-01-2015-0014

Durner, T., & Shetret, L. (2015). Understanding bank de-risking and its effects on financial inclusion: An exploratory study. Global Center on Cooperative Security. Oxfam International. Oxford.

Duvendack, M., & Mader, P. (2020). Impact of financial inclusion in low-and middle-income countries: A systematic review of reviews. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 34(3), 594-629. https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12367

Ezzahid, E., & Elouaourti, Z. (2021). Financial inclusion, mobile banking, informal finance and financial exclusion: micro-level evidence from Morocco. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 48(7), 1060-1086. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-11-2020-0747

Feghali, K., Mora, N., & Nassif, P. (2021). Financial inclusion, bank market structure, and financial stability: International evi-dence. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 80, 236-257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2021.01.007

Fielding, D., & Regasa, D. (2024). Banking competition and financial inclusion: Evidence from Ethiopia. *World Development*, 183, 106733. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2024.106733

Firdous, S., & Farooqi, R. (2017). Impact of internet banking service quality on customer satisfaction. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 22(1),1-17.

Gallego-Losada, M. J., Montero-Navarro, A., García-Abajo, E., & Gallego-Losada, R. (2023). Digital financial inclusion. Visualizing the academic literature. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 64, 101862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2022.101862

Gelb, A. (2016). Balancing Financial Integrity with Financial Inclusion: The risk-based approach to "Know your customer". *CGD Policy Paper*, 74, 1-24.

Gopalan, S., & Rajan, R. S. (2018). Foreign banks and financial inclusion in emerging and developing economies: An empirical investigation. *Journal of International Development*, 30(4), 559-583. https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.3354

Gorton, G., & Winton, A. (2003). Financial intermediation. In *Handbook of the Economics of Finance* (Vol. 1, pp. 431-552). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0102(03)01012-4

Hakimi, A., Boussaada, R., & Karmani, M. (2024). Financial inclusion and non-performing loans in MENA region: the moderating role of board characteristics. *Applied Economics*, 56(24), 2900-2914. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2023.2203456

Han, R., & Melecky, M. (2013). Financial inclusion for financial stability: Access to bank deposits and the growth of deposits in the global financial crisis. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 6577. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6577

Heffernan, S. A. (2002). How do UK financial institutions really price their banking products? *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 26(10), 1997-2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(01)00180-7

Heyert, A., & Weill, L. (2024). Trust in banks and financial inclusion: Micro-level evidence from 28 countries. *Economic Systems*, 101248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecosys.2024.101248

Iorember, P. T., Hounkanrin, D. O., Diyoke, K., & Tang, C. F. (2025). Tracing financial inclusion pathway to sustainable development: the criticality of population growth and energy intensity using a machine learning approach. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-08-2024-0435

Isayev, M. (2024). Unraveling the interplay of financial inclusion, stability, and shadow banking in emerging markets. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 57(2), 62-84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10644-024-09657-2

Issaka Jajah, Y., Anarfo, E. B., & Aveh, F. K. (2022). Financial inclusion and bank profitability in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 27(1), 32-44. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2135

Jun, M., & Palacios, S. (2016). Examining the key dimensions of mobile banking service quality: an exploratory study. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 34(3), 307-326. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-01-2015-0015

Jungo, J., Madaleno, M., & Botelho, A. (2021). Financial literacy and financial inclusion effects on stability and competitiveness indicators in the banking sector: cross country evidence for Africa and the world. *Global Economy Journal*, 21(02), 2150009. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2194565921500093

Jungo, J., Madaleno, M., & Botelho, A. (2024). The role of financial inclusion and institutional factors on banking stability in de-veloping countries. *International Journal of Development Issues*, 23(3), 361-377. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJDI-09-2023-0233

Kalunda, E., & Ogada, A. (2019). Financial inclusion using traditional banking channels and its effect on financial performance of commercial banks in Kenya. *The University Journal*, 1(3), 131-148. https://doi.org/10.59952/tuj.v1i3.39

Kebede, J. G., Selvanathan, S., & Naranpanawa, A. (2024). Financial stability and financial inclusion: a non-linear nexus. *Journal of Economic Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-09-2023-0488

Kebede, J., Selvanathan, S., & Naranpanawa, A. (2021). Foreign bank presence, institutional quality, and financial inclusion: Evi-dence from Africa. *Economic Modelling*, 102, 105572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2021.105572

Khan, I., & Khan, I. (2024). Financial inclusion matter for poverty, income inequality and financial stability in developing countries: new evidence from public good theory. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 19(11), 3561-3580. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-10-2021-1627

Kodongo, O. (2018). Financial regulations, financial literacy, and financial inclusion: Insights from Kenya. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 54(12), 2851-2873. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2017.1418318

Koomson, I., Koomson, P., & Abdul-Mumuni, A. (2023). Trust in banks, financial inclusion and the mediating role of borrower discouragement. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 88, 1418-1431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2023.07.090

Krause, D. (2023). Mitigating risks for financial firms using generative AI tools. Available at SSRN 4452600. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4452600

Kumar, I. (2024). Banking services and financial inclusion in India's poorest regions. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 25(2), 145-159. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-023-00224-9

Kumar, V., Thrikawala, S., & Acharya, S. (2022). Financial inclusion and bank profitability: Evidence from a developed market. *Global Finance Journal*, 53, 100609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2021.100609

Léon, F., & Zins, A. (2020). Regional foreign banks and financial inclusion: Evidence from Africa. *Economic Modelling*, 84, 102-116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2019.03.012

Lepetit, L., Nys, E., Rous, P., & Tarazi, A. (2008). The expansion of services in European banking: Implications for loan pricing and interest margins. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32(11), 2325-2335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2007.09.025

Lewis, B. R. (2013). Marketing bank services. In *Marketing in the Service Industries* (pp. 61-76). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1080/02642068400000062

Lu, Z., Wu, J., & Liu, J. (2020). Bank concentration and SME financing availability: The impact of promotion of financial inclusion in China. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 38(6), 1329-1349. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-01-2020-0007

Marcelin, I., Egbendewe, A. Y., Oloufade, D. K., & Sun, W. (2022). Financial inclusion, bank ownership, and economy performance: Evidence from developing countries. *Finance Research Letters*, 46, 102322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2021.102322

Marcelin, I., Sun, W., Teclezion, M., & Junarsin, E. (2022). Financial inclusion and bank risk-taking: The effect of information sharing. *Finance Research Letters*, 50, 103182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2022.103182

Marín, A. G., & Schwabe, R. (2019). Bank competition and financial inclusion: Evidence from Mexico. *Review of Industrial Organization*, 55(2), 257-285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11151-018-9673-5

Markose, S., Arun, T., & Ozili, P. (2022). Financial inclusion, at what cost?: Quantification of the economic viability of a supply-side rollout. *The European Journal of Finance*, 28(1), 3-29. https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2020.1821740

Mbama, C. I., & Ezepue, P. O. (2018). Digital banking, customer experience and bank financial performance: UK customers' perceptions. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 36(2), 230-255. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-11-2016-0181

Mehrotra, A. N., & Yetman, J. (2015). Financial inclusion issues for central banks. *BIS Quarterly Review March*.

Mengistu, A., & Perez-Saiz, H. (2021). Financial Inclusion, Bank Competition, and Informal Employment in Sub-Saharan Africa. The Global Informal Workforce: Priorities for Inclusive Growth, 345.

Mengistu, A., & Saiz, H. P. (2018). Financial inclusion and bank competition in sub-Saharan Africa. International Monetary Fund. Washington DC. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781484386163.001

Merton, R. C., & Bodie, Z. (1995). *A conceptual framework for analyzing the financial system. The global financial system: A functional perspective*. Harvard Business School Press. Boston, Massachusetts

Morgan, P. J., & Pontines, V. (2018). Financial stability and financial inclusion: The case of SME lending. T*he Singapore Economic Review*, 63(01), 111-124. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217590818410035

Musau, S., Muathe, S., & Mwangi, L. (2018). Financial inclusion, bank competitiveness and credit risk of commercial banks in Kenya. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 9(1), 203-218. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v9n1p203

Na'im, H., Subagiarta, I. W., Wibowo, R., & Wardhono, A. (2021). An empirical study of financial inclusion and financial system stability in ASEAN-4. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(7), 139-150.

Neaime, S., & Gaysset, I. (2018). Financial inclusion and stability in MENA: Evidence from poverty and inequality. *Finance Research Letters*, 24, 230-237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2017.09.007

Neuberger, D. (2015). Financial inclusion, regulation, and education in Germany. ADBI Working Paper 530. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2627488

Ofoeda, I., Mawutor, J. K. M., & Ohenebeng, D. N. F. H. (2024). Financial inclusion, institutional quality and bank stability: evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *International Economics and Economic Policy*, 21(1), 27-64.

Owen, A. L., & Pereira, J. M. (2018). Bank concentration, competition, and financial inclusion. *Review of Development Finance*, 8(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2018.05.001

Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), 329-340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003

Ozili, P. K. (2020). Theories of financial inclusion. In Uncertainty and challenges in contemporary economic behaviour (pp. 89-115). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80043-095-220201008

Ozili, P. K. (2021a). Financial inclusion research around the world: A review. *Forum for Social Economics*, 50(4), 457-479. https://doi.org/10.1080/07360932.2020.1715238

Ozili, P. K. (2021b). Has financial inclusion made the financial sector riskier? *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance* A, 29(3), 237-255. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-08-2020-0074

Ozili, P. K. (2023). eNaira central bank digital currency (CBDC) for financial inclusion in Nigeria. In Digital economy, energy and sustainability: Opportunities and Challenges (pp. 41-54). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22382-2_3

Ozili, P. K. (2025). Financial inclusion and bank stability: evidence from capital buffer and capital adequacy ratio. Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies, 18(1), 109-129. https://doi.org/10.1108/JCEFTS-05-2024-0035

Pennacchi, G. (2006). Deposit insurance, bank regulation, and financial system risks. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 53(1), 1-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2005.10.007

Pham, M. H., & Doan, T. P. L. (2020). The impact of financial inclusion on financial stability in Asian countries. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(6), 47-59. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no6.047

Prabowo, M. A., Jamin, M., Saputro, D. J., Mufraini, A., & Agustia, D. (2017). Female executive officers and corporate social responsibility disclosure: Evidence from the banking industry in an emerging market. *Journal for Global Business Advancement*, 10(6), 631-651. https://doi.org/10.1504/JGBA.2017.091944

Saha, M., & Dutta, K. D. (2021). Nexus of financial inclusion, competition, concentration and financial stability: Cross-country empirical evidence. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 31(4), 669-692. https://doi.org/10.1108/CR-12-2019-0136

Scholtens, B., & Van Wensveen, D. (2003). The theory of financial intermediation: an essay on what it does (not) explain (No. 2003/1). SUERF Studies.

Shihadeh, F. (2020). The influence of financial inclusion on banks' performance and risk: new evidence from MENAP. *Banks and Bank Systems*, 15(1), 59-71. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.15(1).2020.07

Shihadeh, F. H., Hannon, A., Guan, J., Haq, I. U., & Wang, X. (2018). Does financial inclusion improve the banks' performance? Evidence from Jordan. In Global tensions in financial markets (pp. 117-138). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S0196-382120170000034005

Shy, O., & Wang, Z. (2011). Why do payment card networks charge proportional fees? *American Economic Review*, 101(4), 1575-1590. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.101.4.1575

Siddik, M. N. A., & Kabiraj, S. (2018). Does financial inclusion induce financial stability? Evidence from cross-country analysis. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, 12(1), 34-46. https://doi.org/10.14453/aabfj.v12i1.3

Sikdar, P., & Kumar, A. (2016). Payment bank: A catalyst for financial inclusion. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 12(3-4), 226-231. https://doi.org/10.1177/2319510X17696648

Skała, D., & Weill, L. (2018). Does CEO gender matter for bank risk? *Economic Systems*, 42(1), 64-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecosys.2017.08.005

Sleiman, J. P. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence and large language models for digital banking: First outlook and perspectives. *Journal of Digital Banking*, 8(2), 102-117. https://doi.org/10.69554/CNMI7720

Strøm, R. Ø., D'Espallier, B., & Mersland, R. (2023). Female leaders and financial inclusion: Evidence from microfinance institutions. *Review of Corporate Finance*, 3(1-2), 69-97. https://doi.org/10.1561/114.00000036

Thamae, R. I., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2022). The impact of bank regulation on bank lending: a review of international literature. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 23(4), 405-418. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-021-00179-9

Vo ,D.H., & Nguyen, N. T. (2021a). Does financial inclusion improve bank performance in the Asian region? *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature*, 35(2), 123-135. https://doi.org/10.1111/apel.12330

Vo, D. H., Nguyen, N. T., & Van, L. T. H. (2021b). Financial inclusion and stability in the Asian region using bank-level data. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 21(1), 36-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2020.06.003

Wang, R., & Luo, H. R. (2022). How does financial inclusion affect bank stability in emerging economies? *Emerging Markets Review*, 51, 100876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2021.100876

Yakubu, I. N., & Musah, A. (2024). The nexus between financial inclusion and bank profitability: A dynamic panel approach. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 14(2), 430-443. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2022.2105792

Yawe, B., & Prabhu, J. (2015). Innovation and financial inclusion: A review of the literature. *Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems*, 9(3), 215-228. https://doi.org/10.69554/SXTJ4645

Disclaimer: All statements, viewpoints, and data featured in the publications are exclusively those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s), not of MFI and/or its editor(s). MFI and/or the editor(s) absolve themselves of any liability for harm to individuals or property that might arise from any concepts, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.